

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,530	02/17/2006	Touru Niizaki	00331063PUS1	4991	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 02/15/200 / ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			ABU ALI, SHUANGYI		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/15/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/568,530	NIIZAKI, TOURU		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SHUANGYI ABU ALI	1793		

	SHUANGYI ABU ALI	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 495(a). The data have been filled it help date for purposes of observating a fee period data have been filled it help date for purposes of observating a fee period data have been filled it have been filled and the second of the data under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of the properties of	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a cancel of the present additional claims without canceling a cancel of the present additional claims without cancel of the present additional claims.			ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number or finally reje	cted claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) In how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 		condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Jerry A Lorengo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	sa		

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants argue that the structure of the instant application is different from the structure taught by Hulin. The Examiner respectfully submits that the structure described by figure A is only a narrow interpretation of claim; Claim 1 does not claim that resin powder is not coated on the base particle. Claim 1 recites that a powder composition comprising one resin powder. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., resin powder is not coated on the base particle) are not recited in the rejected daim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Hulin teaches that a composition comprising of base particle, which can be immitted my privally have a flake shape, and carrier preaging component coated thereon. The carrier preaging component comprises of resin and charge control agent.

Applicants argue the charge control value relationship is different from the instant application and the teachings of the Hulin, Hou, Yoshiki and Shizu references. The Examiner respectfully submits that since the charge value relationship is determined by the constituents of the composition, the claimed charge value relationship would be inherent to that of combined teaching of Hulin. See MPEP 2112. Furthermore, counsel's aroment can not stake the place of the evidence

coolies a diguined. Vari not was a live place to the evidence variety reaging component is left on the print matter. The Examiner respectfully submits that claim 8 only recites applying the coating composition to a substrate to obtain a coating, it does not recite all the components of the coating composition in the coating after the applying process.

Applicants argue that the rejection of claim 15 under 35 U.S. C. 112 second paragraph should be withdrawn in light of the specification, particularly tables 1 and 2. The Examiner respectfully submits that table 1 and 2 contain just numerical data. They do not provide which number is considered high or effective or excellent by one of ordinary skill in the art.